Advanced Econometrics II TA Session Problems No. 3

Agnieszka Borowska

20.01.2015

Note: this is only a draft of the problems discussed on Tuesday and might contain some typos or more or less imprecise statements. If you find some, please let me know.

\mathbf{Model}

$$y = X\beta + u,$$

$$\mathbb{E}(uu^{T}) = \Omega,$$

$$\mathbb{E}(u_{t}|X_{t}) \neq 0,$$

$$\mathbb{E}(u_{t}|W_{t}) = 0,$$

$$W_{t} \in \Omega_{t}.$$
(1)

The last assumption says the instruments are predetermined. Moreover, we have l > k, i.e. overidentification.

- 1. Efficient GMM <u>select J</u> for $Z \equiv WJ$ (optimal choice of the selection matrix, given the instruments)
- 2. Fully efficient GMM <u>select W</u> (the best choice of instruments W out of all the possible valid instruments, given the information set Ω_t); in GLS spirit.

Efficient GMM

Aim: given instruments W, find the optimal selection matrix J in the case when Ω not proportional to the identity matrix, i.e. when $\Omega \neq \sigma^2 \mathbb{I}$.

When we use WJ as instruments, the **moment conditions** are

$$J^T W^T (y - X\beta) = 0,$$

so that the asymptotic distribution of the estimator $\hat{\beta}$ which solves them follows from

$$\sqrt{n}\left(\hat{\beta}-\beta_0\right) = \left(\frac{1}{n}J^T W^T X\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}J^T W^T u\right) \stackrel{d}{\to} \mathcal{N}(0, \operatorname{AVar}(WJ)),$$

where the asymptotic covariance matrix is given by

$$\operatorname{AVar}(WJ) = \operatorname{plim} \left(\frac{1}{n} X^T W J (J^T W^T \Omega W J)^{-1} J^T W^T X\right)^{-1}$$

The sandwich eliminating choice of J:

$$J^* = (W^T \Omega W)^{-1} W^T X,$$

so that the asymptotic covariance matrix becomes

$$\operatorname{AVar}(WJ^*) = \operatorname{plim}\left(\frac{1}{n}X^T W (W^T \Omega W)^{-1} W^T X\right)^{-1}.$$
(2)

The difference between AVar(WJ) and $AVar(WJ^*)$ is PSD, hence, indeed, J^* is the optimal choice. The resulting efficient GMM estimator has the form

$$\hat{\beta}_{GMM} = \left(X^T W \left(W^T \Omega W\right)^{-1} W^T X\right)^{-1} X^T W \left(W^T \Omega W\right)^{-1} W^T y.$$
(3)

Fully efficient GMM

Aim: find the optimal choice of the instruments in the case when Ω not proportional to the identity matrix, i.e. when $\Omega \neq \sigma^2 \mathbb{I}$.

1° First, suppose that X is **exogenous**, so we can use it as the instruments: W = X. Then, the efficient GMM estimator (3) boils down to the OLS estimator:

$$\hat{\beta}_{GMM} = \left(X^{T}W(W^{T}\Omega W)^{-1}W^{T}X\right)^{-1}X^{T}W(W^{T}\Omega W)^{-1}W^{T}y, = \left(X^{T}X(X^{T}\Omega X)^{-1}X^{T}X\right)^{-1}X^{T}X(X^{T}\Omega X)^{-1}X^{T}y, = (X^{T}X)^{-1}X^{T}\Omega X(X^{T}X)^{-1}X^{T}X(X^{T}\Omega X)^{-1}X^{T}y, = (X^{T}X)^{-1}X^{T}\Omega X(X^{T}\Omega X)^{-1}X^{T}y, = (X^{T}X)^{-1}X^{T}y, = \hat{\beta}_{OLS}.$$

However, in the case when $\Omega \neq \sigma^2 \mathbb{I}$, the OLS estimator is **not efficient**, as the efficient one is the GLS estimator $\hat{\beta}_{GLS}$ given by¹

$$\hat{\beta}_{GLS} = \left(\underbrace{X^T \Omega^{-1}}_{W^T} X\right)^{-1} \underbrace{X^T \Omega^{-1}}_{W} y$$
$$= \left(W^T X\right)^{-1} W^T y$$
$$= \hat{\beta}_{IV},$$

with $W = \Omega^{-1} X$. So when $\Omega \neq \sigma^2 \mathbb{I}$, the optimal instruments are no longer given by

$$\mathbb{E}[X_t | \Omega_t] \equiv \bar{X}_t = X_t,$$

i.e. the predetermined part of the explanatory variables X, as they are equal to $\Omega^{-1}X$.

 $2^\circ\,$ Next, suppose that some variables in X are **not predetermined**, so we need to instrument for them. Simple solution

 $\Omega^{-1}\bar{X}$

does not work because even if \bar{X}_t is predetermined, $\Omega^{-1}\bar{X}$ is not due to serial correlation.

Hence, **GLS approach** - the aim: construct Ψ , $n \times n$, such that $\Omega^{-1} = \Psi \Psi^T$. Then we can premultiply (1) by Ψ^T to get the **transformed model**

$$\Psi^T y = \Psi^T X \beta + \Psi^T u, \tag{4}$$

so that the covariance matrix of the transformed error vector $\Psi^T u$ is

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\Psi^{T} u u^{T} \Psi | \Omega_{t}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\Psi^{T} \Omega \Psi | \Omega_{t}\right]$$
$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\Psi^{T} (\Psi \Psi^{T})^{-1} \Psi | \Omega_{t}\right]$$
$$= \mathbb{I}_{n},$$

the identity matrix. Because of endogeneity we need to find Z, a matrix of instruments for the transformed model (4), such that the theoretical moment conditions

$$\mathbb{E}\left[Z^T \Psi^T (y - X\beta)\right] = 0,\tag{5}$$

are satisfied. Notice that for (5) to hold we need

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\Psi^T u\right)_t | Z_t\right] = 0,$$

so the instruments are valid wrt to the transformed error terms.

 $^{^1\}mathrm{Cf.}$ Section 7.2 in DM.

Notice, that in the case from 1° of exogenous X, when the optimal instruments for the untransformed model (1) were $\Omega^{-1}X$, the optimal choice of Z for the transformed model (4) is

$$Z = \Psi^T X$$

as then

$$0 = \mathbb{E} \left[Z^T \Psi^T (y - X\beta) \right]$$
$$= \mathbb{E} \left[X^T \Psi \Psi^T (y - X\beta) \right]$$
$$= \mathbb{E} \left[X^T \Omega^{-1} (y - X\beta) \right],$$

the same as the theoretical moment conditions for the exogenous case.

Usually it is possible to find Ψ such that the linear combination of u's, $(\Psi^T u)_t$, are **innovations** wrt Ω_t , i.e.

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\Psi^T u\right)_t | \Omega_t\right] = 0$$

When X is not exogenous and $\Omega \neq \sigma^2 \mathbb{I}$, we need to find \overline{X} which are **implicitly defined** by

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\Psi^T X\right)_t | \Omega_t\right] = (\Psi^T \bar{X})_t \tag{6}$$

so that $\Psi^T \overline{X}$ is are predetermined and we can use them as instruments Z. This is not an easy task and needs to be handled on a **case-by-case basis**.

So we claim that setting

$$Z = \Psi^T \overline{X}$$

with \bar{X} implicitly defined in (6) is the **optimal choice** in our general setup. Let's check it. First, notice that this choice leads to (5) becoming

$$\mathbb{E}\left[Z^T \Psi^T (y - X\beta)\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\bar{X}^T \Psi \Psi^T (y - X\beta)\right]$$
$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\bar{X}^T \Omega^{-1} (y - X\beta)\right]$$
$$= 0,$$

which result in the following efficient GMM estimator

$$\hat{\beta}_{EGMM} = \left(\bar{X}^T \Omega^{-1} \bar{X}\right)^{-1} \bar{X}^T \Omega^{-1} y.$$

Its asymptotic covariance matrix can be obtained by plugging into (2)

$$W := \Psi^T \bar{X},$$
$$X := \Psi^T X,$$
$$\Omega := \mathbb{I},$$

(notice that we need to use **transformed error** terms) to obtain

$$\operatorname{AVar}(\hat{\beta}_{EGMM}) = \operatorname{plim} \left(\frac{1}{n}X^{T}\Psi\Psi^{T}\bar{X}\left(\bar{X}^{T}\Psi\mathbb{I}\Psi^{T}\bar{X}\right)^{-1}\bar{X}^{T}\Psi\Psi^{T}X\right)^{-1}$$
$$= \operatorname{plim} \left(\frac{1}{n}X^{T}\Omega^{-1}\bar{X}\left(\frac{1}{n}\bar{X}^{T}\Omega^{-1}\bar{X}\right)^{-1}\underbrace{\frac{1}{n}\bar{X}^{T}\Omega^{-1}X}_{(*)}\right)^{-1}.$$

Next, consider (*). Notice that for any M such that $M_t \in \Omega_t$ we have

$$\operatorname{plim} \frac{1}{n} M^T \Psi^T X = \operatorname{plim} \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E} \left[M^T \Psi^T X | \Omega_t \right]$$
$$= \operatorname{plim} \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E} \left[M^T \Psi^T \bar{X} | \Omega_t \right]$$
$$= \operatorname{plim} \frac{1}{n} M \Psi^T \bar{X}.$$

Since by (6) we have $\left(\Psi^T \bar{X}\right)_t \in \Omega_t$ so that

$$\operatorname{plim} \frac{1}{n} \bar{X} \Omega^{-1} X = \operatorname{plim} \frac{1}{n} \bar{X} \Psi \Psi^T X$$
$$= \operatorname{plim} \frac{1}{n} \bar{X} \Psi \Psi^T \bar{X}$$
$$= \operatorname{plim} \frac{1}{n} \bar{X} \Omega^{-1} \bar{X}.$$

This simplifies the asymptotic covariance matrix to

$$\operatorname{AVar}(\hat{\beta}_{EGMM}) = \operatorname{plim} \left(\frac{1}{n}\bar{X}^T \Omega^{-1}\bar{X}\right)^{-1}.$$
(7)

Is (7) "better" than (2)?

Suppose that we use Z = WJ, where W are some predetermined instruments $(W_t \in \Omega_t)$. Then, the moment conditions are

$$Z^T \Psi^T (y - X\beta) = J^T W^T \Psi^T (y - X\beta) = 0,$$

which yield the following solution

$$\hat{\beta} = \left(J^T W^T \Psi^T X\right)^{-1} J^T W^T \Psi^T y.$$

Its asymptotic covariance matrix has the following sandwich form

plim
$$\left(\frac{1}{n}X^T\Psi WJ\left(J^TW^TWJ\right)^{-1}J^TW^T\Psi^TX\right)^{-1}$$
. (8)

The sandwich can be eliminated when

$$W^T \Psi^T X = W^T W J,$$

which gives the optimal choice of J:

$$J^* = (W^T W)^{-1} W^T \Psi^T X.$$

Then

$$J^{T}W^{T}\Psi^{T}X = ((W^{T}W)^{-1}W^{T}\Psi^{T}X)^{T}W^{T}\Psi^{T}X$$

$$= X^{T}\Psi \underbrace{W(W^{T}W)^{-1}W^{T}}_{P_{W}}\Psi^{T}X$$

$$= X^{T}\Psi P_{W}\Psi^{T}X,$$

$$J^{T}W^{T}WJ = X^{T}\Psi W(W^{T}W)^{-1}W^{T}W(W^{T}W)^{-1}W^{T}\Psi^{T}X$$

$$= X^{T}\Psi \underbrace{W(W^{T}W)^{-1}W^{T}}_{P_{W}}\Psi^{T}X$$

$$= X^{T}\Psi P_{W}\Psi^{T}X.$$

So with J^* , the asymptotic covariance matrix (8) of $\hat{\beta}$ becomes

plim
$$\left(\frac{1}{n}X^T\Psi P_W\Psi^T X\right)^{-1}$$
.

Since we assumed that the instruments are predetermined, we can use the reasoning as above to obtain

$$\operatorname{plim}\left(\frac{1}{n}X^{T}\Psi P_{W}\Psi^{T}X\right)^{-1} = \operatorname{plim}\left(\frac{1}{n}\bar{X}^{T}\Psi P_{W}\Psi^{T}\bar{X}\right)^{-1}$$

The difference between the two precision matrices corresponding to (7) and (2) is then given by

$$\bar{X}^T \Psi \Psi^T \bar{X} - \bar{X}^T \Psi P_W \Psi^T \bar{X} = \bar{X}^T \Psi (\mathbb{I} - P_W) \Psi^T \bar{X}$$
$$= \bar{X}^T \Psi M_W \Psi^T \bar{X},$$

so is PSD. This shows that $\hat{\beta}_{EGMM}$ obtained with $Z = \Psi^T \bar{X}$ is indeed optimal.